Ringwood Town Council

Ringwood Gateway, The Furlong, Ringwood, Hampshire BH24 1AT Tel: 01425 473883 www.ringwood.gov.uk

RECREATION, LEISURE & OPEN SPACES COMMITTEE

Dear Member

1st November 2018

A meeting of the above Committee will be held in the Forest Suite at Ringwood Gateway on **Wednesday 7th November 2018** at 7.00pm or at the conclusion of the public participation session and your attendance is requested.

Mr C Wilkins Town Clerk

AGENDA

1. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

There will be an opportunity for public participation for a period of up to 15 minutes at the start of the meeting

2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- 4. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING To approve as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 3rd October 2018
- 5. CARVERS CLUBHOUSE To receive the Manager's monthly report (*Report A*)

6. EVENTS MANAGEMENT

To receive report on upcoming events

7. TREE MANAGEMENT

To consider report from the Town Clerk on tree management and the proposals contained therein (*Report B*)

8. PROJECTS

To receive an update regarding projects (*Report C*)

9. COMMITTEE BUDGET 2019/20

To give initial consideration to proposals for inclusion in the Committee's budget for 2019/20

If you would like further information on any of the agenda items, please contact Chris Wilkins, Town Clerk on (01425) 484720 or email chris.wilkins@ringwood.gov.uk.

Committee Members Cllr Andrew Briers (Chairman) Cllr Angela Wiseman (Vice Chairman) Cllr Hilary Edge Cllr Darren Loose Cllr Anne Murphy Cllr Gloria O'Reilly Cllr Tony Ring Cllr Tim Ward

Ex Officio Members

Cllr Tony Ring Cllr Philip Day

<u>Student Advisors</u> Imogen Lines-Clarke Alana Morris

Copied by e-mail to other Members for information

RECREATION, LESIURE AND OPEN SPACES COMMITTEE 7 November 2018

Report from Manager, Carvers Clubhouse

It is my pleasure to present my report from Carvers Clubhouse which covers October 2018.

1. Bookings and Activities

The table below summarises the usage of the Centre and activities which have been hosted at Carvers.

	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November
Fee paying (hours)	14	14	13	170	68	4	13	
No charge (hours)	11	15	26	39	57	15	71.5	
Cancelled (hours)	2				2	2	2	
TOTAL hours	25	29	39	209	125	19	84.5	

October has been a very good month at the Clubhouse. Two new hirers have started, each providing information, support and advice to teenagers. Our half term "Halloween Spooktacular" was very popular- we welcomed around 600 people through the centre and at least 200 children either painted a pumpkin, decorated a treat bag, made a witches door and much more. We had some great feedback on the day and on social media and there was a fantastic buzz throughout the week.

The New Forest West Neighbourhood Policing Team also attended during half term to do free bike and scooter marking. They said that they had a good two days, providing the marking and advice of security locks and that Carvers was busy both days with little ones as well as being busy on the skate park. One of the PSCO's also played a bit of football with some of the young lads. Overall, a great activity to continue our partnership working with the police.

November may be a little more challenging due to the weather and the closure of the play area (see below). The new free family yoga class has 18 booked for the first class on Saturday 3rd November and we hope that the Café will be busy during the Fireworks event on the 4th November. We also have a new preschool class called Titchy Fit starting in November. This is something that a lot of parents requested so we are hoping that it will be popular.

2. Play area

Obviously with the Play Area being closed for up for 4 weeks we lose a lot of footfall in the park which comes just when we have built up some momentum with recent activities and events. To counter this, we have purchased some lovely outdoor play equipment aimed particularly at pre-schoolers who would use the play area during the day. We hope this

means that people will still come to the park during these 4 weeks and we have the play equipment for ongoing use if popular.

3. Branding and marketing

We will continue to prioritise our marketing and promotion to get the message out about what we do, that we are available to hire for private use and to run services and activities for children and young people and to use the café.

- We have a new leaflet for the Café which we will leave in public spaces
- We have commissioned a new sign for the play area to arrive in time for the opening
- The work to be undertaken in the Play Area will provide us with an opportunity to host a 'grand-re-opening' type event as well as good stories about the new outdoor play equipment.
- We will be open on Bonfire Night and the team will be serving a specially designed menu
- We plan to have a presence at the Christmas Lights Switch on Event to raise awareness of what we do
- We are designing some postcards to be used at events and handed out to the community
- Two of our regular hirers- Twinkles and Titchy Fit will be hosting Christmas Parties
- We will run a few small Christmas events throughout December (but recognising that we are in a lot of competition with some lovely activities locally)

4. <u>Café</u>

The Café has been quieter during October term time. We have updated the menu for Autumn and will continue to adapt our opening hours. We have a new member of staff, Josh Toop, starting on 1 November as a Café Assistant. Louisa Giordano is no longer working in the centre.

5. Volunteers

We had our first Centre volunteer during Half Term help out with the Craft Activities. It was so busy at times that it would have been very difficult to have managed without the additional help. Her feedback was very positive and we will be using this in social media to encourage others to join us:

"Last week I had the honour of volunteering at Carvers Café to support with the Halloween craft event. I am currently a stay at home mum and I got such pleasure from adding value to my local community. I felt that promoting the fantastic local service was really rewarding as so many people benefited from the venue and event. I will definitely be volunteering again and I can highly recommend volunteering to others. Also remember that volunteering looks great on your CV!"

6. Anti-social behaviour

We did experience an afternoon of anti-social behaviour during Café opening times which has resulted in one young person being banned and some toys being damaged. The police haven been involved and we are told that the boy is willing to pay for the damage.

Charmaine Bennett, Manager, Carvers Clubhouse Direct dial: 01425 484727 Email: Charmaine.bennett@ringwood.gov.uk

RECREATION, LEISURE & OPEN SPACES COMMITTEE 7th November 2018

Tree Management

- 1. Introduction and reason why decision required
 - 1.1 In light of legal changes, the Council needs to review its arrangements for managing its trees safely. A new policy might be advisable too.
- 2. Background information, options, impact assessment and risks
 - 2.1 The Council has an informal policy of pruning or felling trees only if they are dead, diseased or dangerous. It has commissioned expert surveys of its trees every five years or so (the last is dated March 2014). The reports received list only trees requiring immediate attention (see Annex A for an example). It has ordered additional work in response to observations by staff and complaints or reports from others. The Council has no other list of the trees it is responsible for nor any record of their condition.
 - 2.2 In November 2017, the High Court held a parish council liable in negligence for personal injuries caused by a large lime tree that fell from land it owned onto a bus. An expert had inspected the tree three years before the accident. The court decided that, given the threat posed by such a large tree so close to a road, the council should have inspected it once in every two years at least. The judge emphasized the need to schedule repeat inspections according to the risk posed by individual trees, condemning any "one size fits all" approach.
 - 2.3 The Council's current tree management policy has kept costs down but it clearly falls well short of the standard now expected by the courts. Moreover, recent events (incidents, one-off inspections, etc.) suggest that a significant backlog of works has accumulated. Even applying the current policy, there is a risk that officers will have to seek an increase in the budget of £3,000 for tree safety work this year. The budget of £408 for tree safety consultancy would suffice for a proper survey of only a tiny fraction of the Council's estate. There is no budget for tree work required for reasons other than safety (such as aesthetics, ecology, good estate management or good neighbourliness). For example, the Council has in the past paid to pollard the lime trees in the churchyard and these need doing again now.
 - 2.4 The Council cannot discharge its legal duty of care or manage recurrent and planned work effectively without a database of its trees. This needs to contain a description of each tree requiring inspection, details of any work needed and an assessment of the level of risk it poses. The last is needed to prioritize further inspections and planned work. (See Annex B for an example of the kind of thing needed). This cannot be achieved within current budgets but planning to commission the preparation of such a database in financial year 2019-20 would be practicable. Delaying this further would be imprudent. A rolling programme of re-inspecting parts of the estate in rotation from 2020-21 onwards (probably at 2- or 3-yearly intervals prioritized by risk) would be needed (but the annual cost of these would be correspondingly less than for the initial database). In addition, our grounds maintenance staff need to be trained to undertake basic tree safety inspections between professional surveys.
 - 2.5 Inevitably, the inspections required to build the database will reveal the need for more urgent tree work than would have been required otherwise. An increased budget requirement for tree safety work is therefore inevitable. At this stage, it is impossible to predict this requirement accurately but officers are advised that councils with a similar risk profile to ours typically spend between £15,000 and £20,000 a year on urgent work for safety reasons only.

- 2.6 The question of additional tree work not required for safety reasons remains. Because of the additional one-off survey costs proposed in 2019-20 and the unpredictable but potentially large cost of safety works in the same year it would seem wiser at this stage not to budget for even more expense but rather to review matters, say, six months into the year and see how things are going. At that time, the budget for tree safety work can be adjusted if appropriate and a separate budget allocated for additional works if desired.
- 2.7 Unwelcome as any increase in expenditure (especially recurrent expenditure) must be, this cannot prudently be avoided. Neglecting this work would carry significant risks to public safety, of litigation and of reputational damage. These proposals have therefore been tentatively added to the new projects planner for inclusion in the bidding process for the 2019-20 budget.
- 2.8 Adopting a formal written Tree Management Plan in place of the current informal policy would have the following advantages:
 - 2.8.1 The survey and inspection regime approved by members could be properly recorded and the reasons for it explained;
 - 2.8.2 The council could set out clearly those works to trees it will undertake and those it won't with reasons;
 - 2.8.3 The Plan could align policy with that of the District Council, helping to explain and justify it.

The District Council's current Corporate Tree Strategy and Tree Risk Management Strategy can be viewed here http://www.newforest.gov.uk/article/10175/NFDC-trees

3. <u>Recommendations</u>

Members are respectfully invited to consider the following recommendations (as always, members are free to propose and approve amendments or alternatives of their own devising):

RESOLVED

- 3.1 That the Town Clerk prepare a draft Tree Management Plan aligned with the Corporate Tree Strategy and Tree Risk Management Strategy of New Forest District Council for consideration by this committee
- 3.2 That the additional tree survey work and tree safety work shown in the new projects planner be included in the committee bid for inclusion in the 2019-20 budget.

For further information, contact:

Chris Wilkins, Town Clerk Direct Dial: 01425 484720 Email: chris.wilkins@ringwood.gov.uk

This schedule is to be read in conjunction with plan 8388-BT1, Cemetery and Hightown Road Allotments and our report 8388-MainReport-DC, dated 26 March 2014. Date of visit: 12 March 2014

Weather/Visibility: Good

Inspected by: Dave Cashman

Tree No	Species	Comments	Work recommendations	Priority
T1	Cypress	Dying	 Fell 	Normal
T2	Cypress	Dying	• Feli	Normal
T3	Holm oak	Leaning at an acute angle at the junction of Hightown Road and the school boundary	 Reduce the crown by 50% 	Normal

- Priority: Works noted as 'Urgent' must be organised as a matter of urgency and carried out as soon as possible. Works noted as 'Normal' should be scheduled in as soon as practical, taking proper account of possible habitat restrictions and normal logistical delays such as adverse weather and administrative workloads. 'Normal' is intended to mean within three months of the inspection date as a standard default, with a possible extension up to six months at the most under exceptional circumstances, which would need to be justified in the event of criticism.
- 2. Standard of tree works: All tree works should be carried out to BS 3998 (2010) Tree Work Recommendations, as modified by more recent research and/or the specific site circumstances. It is advisable to select a contractor from the local authority list and preferably one approved by the Arboricultural Association. Its Register of Contractors is available free from Ullenwood Court, Ullenwood, Cheltenham, Glos GL53 9QS; phone 01242 522152; website www.trees.org.uk.
- 3. Reporting during work operations: Any features or conditions that may affect tree safety discovered by the contractor when carrying out the intervention works, should be reported to the supervising officer. Modification to the original specification may be required because of these reports. The contractor should be specifically instructed on this point.
- 4. Reinspection: Unless otherwise stated in the schedule above, these work recommendations are made on the basis that the subject trees will be reinspected within 3–5 years of the date of the last inspection. All the subject trees should be checked after extreme and severe storm events, and after any nearby disturbance that could adversely affect their stability, such as excavation or loss of sheiter.



2018-19 Project progress report 2 Recreation, Leisure & Open Spaces Committee

Updated: 1st November 2018

Item	Name	Recent developments		Reso	urce use		Finish in	Notes
No.				Finance			2018-	
			Budget	Spent	Predicted	· Staff time	19?	
				to date	out-turn	time		
		Projects with buc	lgetary imp	plications (oids included	l in 2018-19	budget)	
A1	Play equipment	Removal of redundant equipment to start on 5 th Nov. Installation of the	£44,000	£O	£44,000	Significant	Probable	
	replacement	new items is scheduled for 13-27 Nov.						
A2	War Memorial repairs	Listed building consent for works has		£125	Uncertain	Significant	Unlikely	Choice of specialist mason to undertake works
		been granted. The pre-application grant form has been approved.						is expected soon. Once made the full application for grant funding can be completed
								and submitted. A decision on the grant is not
								now expected until April 2019.
A3	Cemetery improvements	Utilities compound completed and new hedge planted.	£3,000	<mark>£2,490</mark>	£3,000	Moderate	Probable	This item will not be updated further.
A4	Mansfield Road verge	Woodland Trust/Rotary donation of	£1,160	£O	£1,160	Moderate	Possible	
A5	Carvers Rec	plants being explored The new fence around the play area	£10,000	£4,300	£10,000	Moderate	Probable	Officers will pursue other projects but with low
AJ	improvements	has been installed.	L10,000	L4,500	L10,000	MODELATE	TTODADIE	priority until adequate CIL funding is confirmed.
A 6	Front deck mower	Delivery of new machine taken in	£20,000	£11,596	£11,596	Minimal	Definite	Amount spent includes trade in on old machine
A7	replacement WW1 Armistice –	April. The Events Team is assisting with the	£1,000	fO	£1.000	Minimal	Definite	(£3,000). This item will not be updated further. Transferred from P&F list
A/	contribution to	planning of this event.	L1,000	LU	L1,000	IVIIIIIIII	Dennite	
	commemorative event							
		Projects with budgetary i	mplication	s (not inclu	ided in 2018-	19 budget k	out added s	ince)
B1	Roller mower	Approved at P& F in April. Delivery of		£4,750	£4,750	Minimal	Definite	Amount spent includes trade in on old
	replacement	new machine taken in April.						machines (£750). This item will not be updated further.

B2	Bickerley tracks maintenance	Project suspended owing to insufficient support from residents		£O	£0	Moderate	N/A	Contractors' details passed to interested residents to consider own arrangements. This item will not be updated further.
		Projects	s with no b	udgetary ii	mplications <mark>i</mark>	<mark>n 2018-19</mark>		
C1	Long Lane recreation facilities development feasibility study	Discussions are continuing with Ringwood Town FC about how best to develop this project.				Significant	Probable	Further support from consultants is likely to be needed and the possibility of seeking "seed- funding" from Football Foundation for this is being explored.
C2	Bickerley drainage works	RTC staff have resumed routine grass-cutting.				Moderate	Probable	A further site meeting has been arranged for January and Wessex Water's contractors will conduct further reinstatement works pending final site handover back to RTC.
C3	Christmas Lights – Replacement/re- procurement from 2019	Re-procurement arrangements approved at October committee meeting.				Significant	No	Officers have begun preparation of the invitation to tender document.

 \supset



New projects planner 2 Recreation, Leisure & Open Spaces Committee

Updated: 1st November 2018

Item	Name	Brief description & notes			Resource requirements					
No.		(define scope and quality requirements)		Finance		Tim	ne and atten	tion	Priority	
			RTC	RTC non-	Other	Members	Staff	Others	(specify	
			recurring	recurring	source				number)	
			cost	cost						
		Projects with budgetary implications (fe	or possible ind	clusion as bio	ds in 2019-20) budget)				
A1	Tennis at Carvers Rec	Member-led measures/arrangements to	?	?	?	Significant	?	?		
		promote greater use of facilities				Significant	;	i		
A2	Grounds department	Relocate to new secure facility on a single site	£Variable	£Variable	None					
	workshop & store facilities	away from the cemetery. Further work needed to clarify options and costs.				Moderate	Significant	None		
<mark>A3a</mark>	Tree Management Plan -	To initiate a rolling programme of	<mark>£5,000 (Y1)</mark>	None	None					
	<mark>Survey</mark>	comprehensive tree safety inspections across the Council's estate	<mark>£2,000 pa</mark>			Minimal	Moderate	None		
<mark>A3b</mark>	Tree Management Plan –	To increase the budget initially for safety work	<mark>£12,000</mark>	None	None					
	Tree works	only but for possible extension to additional work later from £3K to £15K				<mark>Minimal</mark>	Moderate	None		
A4	Pocket Park boardwalk	Overhaul boardwalk (replace rotten timbers only	None	£15,750	None					
	refurbishment	or whole structure – options and costs being researched).				None	Moderate	None		
		Projects with budgetary implications (for possible ir	nclusion as b	oids in later b	udgets)				
B1	Land adjoining Poulner Pits	?	£O	£?	É?					
B2	Riverside Walk	?	£O	£?	£O					
B3	Footpath extension at The	?	£O	£?	É?					
D.4	Bickerley			~~~						
B4	Brockey Sands	Volunteer-led environmental improvement?	£O	£?	É?					
B5	Land at Folly Farm	Develop leisure use	£?	£?	£?					
B6	War Memorial Gardens	Incorporate path and enhance boundary features	£O	£?	£?					

	P	rojects with no budgetary	implications			 $\left(\right)$
None						





This schedule is to be read in conjunction with plan 8388-BT2, Orchard Mount and Southampton Road Allotments and our report 8388-MainReport-DC, dated 26 March 2014.

Date of visit: 12 March 2014

Weather/Visibility: Good

Inspected by: Dave Cashman

Tree No	Species	Comments	Work recommendations	Priority
T1	Cherry	Dying	• Fell	Normal
T2	Blue cedar	Snapped branches in crown	Remove snapped branches	Normal

- Priority: Works noted as 'Urgent' must be organised as a matter of urgency and carried out as soon as possible. Works noted as 'Normal' should be scheduled in as soon as practical, taking proper account of possible habitat restrictions and normal logistical delays such as adverse weather and administrative workloads. 'Normal' is intended to mean within three months of the inspection date as a standard default, with a possible extension up to six months at the most under exceptional circumstances, which would need to be justified in the event of criticism.
- Standard of tree works: All tree works should be carried out to BS 3998 (2010) Tree Work Recommendations, as modified by more recent research and/or the specific site circumstances. It is advisable to select a contractor from the local authority list and preferably one approved by the Arboricultural Association. Its Register of Contractors is available free from Ullenwood Court, Ullenwood, Cheltenham, Glos GL53 9QS; phone 01242 522152; website <u>www.trees.org.uk</u>.
- 3. Reporting during work operations: Any features or conditions that may affect tree safety discovered by the contractor when carrying out the intervention works, should be reported to the supervising officer. Modification to the original specification may be required because of these reports. The contractor should be specifically instructed on this point.
- 4. Reinspection: Unless otherwise stated in the schedule above, these work recommendations are made on the basis that the subject trees will be reinspected within 3–5 years of the date of the last inspection. All the subject trees should be checked after extreme and severe storm events, and after any nearby disturbance that could adversely affect their stability, such as excavation or loss of shelter.



This schedule is to be read in conjunction with plan 8388-BT3+4, Poulner Lakes and North Poulner Road – entrance to Poulner Lakes and our report 8388-MainReport-DC, dated 26 March 2014. Date of visit: 12 March 2014 Weather/Visibility: Good Inspected by: Dave Cashman

Tree No	Species	Comments	Work recommendations	Priority
T1	Poplar	Leaning	• Fell	Normal

- Priority: Works noted as 'Urgent' must be organised as a matter of urgency and carried out as soon as possible. Works noted as 'Normal should be scheduled in as soon as practical, taking proper account of possible habitat restrictions and normal logistical delays such as adverse weather and administrative workloads. 'Normal is Intended to mean within three months of the inspection date as a standard default, with a possible extension up to six months at the most under exceptional circumstances, which would need to be justified in the event of criticism.
- 2. Standard of tree works: All tree works should be carried out to BS 3998 (2010) Tree Work Recommendations, as modified by more recent research and/or the specific site circumstances. It is advisable to select a contractor from the local authority list and preferably one approved by the Arboricultural Association. Its Register of Contractors is available free from Ultenwood Court, Ultenwood, Cheltenham, Glos GL53 9QS; phone 01242 522152; website <u>www.trees.org.uk</u>.
- 3. Reporting during work operations: Any features or conditions that may affect tree safety discovered by the contractor when carrying out the intervention works, should be reported to the supervising officer. Modification to the original specification may be required because of these reports. The contractor should be specifically instructed on this point.
- 4. Reinspection: Unless otherwise stated in the schedule above, these work recommendations are made on the basis that the subject trees will be reinspected within 3–5 years of the date of the last inspection. All the subject trees should be checked after extreme and severe storm events, and after any nearby disturbance that could adversely affect their stability, such as excavation or loss of shelter.







This schedule is to be read in conjunction with plan 8388-BT5, Jubilee Gardens and our report 8388-MainReport-DC, dated 26 March 2014. Date of visit: 11 March 2014 Weather/Visibility: Good Inspected by: Dave Cashman

Tree No	Species	Comments	Work recommendations	Priority
T 1	Lime	Dying	• Fell	Normal
T2	Alder	Dying	• Fell	Normal
T3	Willow	Leaning over the river	• Fell	Normal

- Priority: Works noted as 'Urgent' must be organised as a matter of urgency and carried out as soon as possible. Works noted as 'Normal should be scheduled in as soon as practical, taking proper account of possible habitat restrictions and normal logistical delays such as adverse weather and administrative workloads. 'Normal is Intended to mean within three months of the inspection date as a standard default, with a possible extension up to six months at the most under exceptional circumstances, which would need to be justified in the event of criticism.
- 2. Standard of tree works: All tree works should be carried out to BS 3998 (2010) Tree Work Recommendations, as modified by more recent research and/or the specific site circumstances. It is advisable to select a contractor from the local authority list and preferably one approved by the Arboricultural Association. Its Register of Contractors is available free from Ullenwood Court, Ullenwood, Cheltenham, Glos GL53 9QS; phone 01242 522152; website www.trees.org.uk.
- 3. **Reporting during work operations:** Any features or conditions that may affect tree safety discovered by the contractor when carrying out the intervention works, should be reported to the supervising officer. Modification to the original specification may be required because of these reports. The contractor should be specifically instructed on this point.
- 4. Reinspection: Unless otherwise stated in the schedule above, these work recommendations are made on the basis that the subject trees will be reinspected within 3–5 years of the date of the last inspection. All the subject trees should be checked after extreme and severe storm events, and after any nearby disturbance that could adversely affect their stability, such as excavation or loss of shelter.





This schedule is to be read in conjunction with plan 8388-BT6, Victoria Gardens and our report 8388-MainReport-DC, dated 26 March 2014. Date of visit: 12 March 2014 Weather/Visibility: Good Inspected by: Dave Cashman

Tree No	Species	Comments	Work recommendations	Priority
T1	Corsican pine		Remove snapped branch	Normal
Т2	Corsican pine		Reduce by 4m, branch overhanging road	Normal

- 1. Priority: Works noted as 'Urgent' must be organised as a matter of urgency and carried out as soon as possible. Works noted as 'Normal' should be scheduled in as soon as practical, taking proper account of possible habitat restrictions and normal logistical delays such as adverse weather and administrative workloads. 'Normal' is intended to mean within three months of the inspection date as a standard default, with a possible extension up to six months at the most under exceptional circumstances, which would need to be justified in the event of criticism.
- 2. Standard of tree works: All tree works should be carried out to BS 3998 (2010) Tree Work Recommendations, as modified by more recent research and/or the specific site circumstances. It is advisable to select a contractor from the local authority list and preferably one approved by the Arboricultural Association. Its Register of Contractors is available free from Ullenwood Court, Ullenwood, Cheltenham, Glos GL53 9QS; phone 01242 522152; website www.trees.org.uk.
- 3. **Reporting during work operations:** Any features or conditions that may affect tree safety discovered by the contractor when carrying out the intervention works, should be reported to the supervising officer. Modification to the original specification may be required because of these reports. The contractor should be specifically instructed on this point.
- 4. Reinspection: Unless otherwise stated in the schedule above, these work recommendations are made on the basis that the subject trees will be reinspected within 3–5 years of the date of the last inspection. All the subject trees should be checked after extreme and severe storm events, and after any nearby disturbance that could adversely affect their stability, such as excavation or loss of shelter.



÷.



This schedule is to be read in conjunction with plan 8388-BT7, Kick Park and our report 8388-MainReport-DC, dated 26 March 2014. Date of visit: 18 March 2014 Weather/Visibility: Good Inspected by: Dave Cashman

Tree No	Species	Comments	Work recommendations	Priority
T1	Poplar		• Fell	Normal

- Priority: Works noted as 'Urgent' must be organised as a matter of urgency and carried out as soon as possible. Works noted as 'Normal should be scheduled in as soon as practical, taking proper account of possible habitat restrictions and normal logistical delays such as adverse weather and administrative workloads. 'Normal is intended to mean within three months of the inspection date as a standard default, with a possible extension up to six months at the most under exceptional circumstances, which would need to be justified in the event of criticism.
- Standard of tree works: All tree works should be carried out to BS 3998 (2010) Tree Work Recommendations, as
 modified by more recent research and/or the specific site circumstances. It is advisable to select a contractor from the
 local authority list and preferably one approved by the Arboricultural Association. Its Register of Contractors is available
 free from Ullenwood Court, Ullenwood, Cheltenham, Glos GLS3 9QS; phone 01242 522152; website www.trees.org.uk.
- 3. Reporting during work operations: Any features or conditions that may affect tree safety discovered by the contractor when carrying out the intervention works, should be reported to the supervising officer. Modification to the original specification may be required because of these reports. The contractor should be specifically instructed on this point.
- 4. Reinspection: Unless otherwise stated in the schedule above, these work recommendations are made on the basis that the subject trees will be reinspected within 3–5 years of the date of the last inspection. All the subject trees should be checked after extreme and severe storm events, and after any nearby disturbance that could adversely affect their stability, such as excavation or loss of shelter.





This schedule is to be read in conjunction with plan 8388-BT8, Toad Corner and our report 8388-MainReport-DC, dated 26 March 2014. Date of visit: 18 March 2014 Weather/Visibility: Good Inspected by: Dave Cashman

Tree No	Species	Comments	Work recommendations	Priority
T1	Goat willow		• Fell	Normal
72	Oak		 Advise Hampshire County Council of decay at base 	Normal

- 1. Priority: Works noted as 'Urgent' must be organised as a matter of urgency and carried out as soon as possible. Works noted as 'Normal' should be scheduled in as soon as practical, taking proper account of possible habitat restrictions and normal logistical delays such as adverse weather and administrative workloads. 'Normal' is intended to mean within three months of the inspection date as a standard default, with a possible extension up to six months at the most under exceptional circumstances, which would need to be justified in the event of criticism.
- Standard of tree works: All tree works should be carried out to BS 3998 (2010) Tree Work Recommendations, as
 modified by more recent research and/or the specific site circumstances. It is advisable to select a contractor from the
 local authority list and preferably one approved by the Arboricultural Association. Its Register of Contractors is available
 free from Ullenwood Court, Ullenwood, Cheltenham, Glos GL53 9QS; phone 01242 522152; website www.trees.org.uk.
- 3. Reporting during work operations: Any features or conditions that may affect tree safety discovered by the contractor when carrying out the intervention works, should be reported to the supervising officer. Modification to the original specification may be required because of these reports. The contractor should be specifically instructed on this point.
- 4. Reinspection: Unless otherwise stated in the schedule above, these work recommendations are made on the basis that the subject trees will be reinspected within 3–5 years of the date of the last inspection. All the subject trees should be checked after extreme and severe storm events, and after any nearby disturbance that could adversely affect their stability, such as excavation or loss of shelter.





This schedule is to be read in conjunction with plan 8388-BT9, The Mount and our report 8388-MainReport-DC, dated 26 March 2014. Date of visit: 18 March 2014 Weather/Visibility: Good Inspected by: Dave Cashman

Tree Species No		Comments	Work recommendations	Priority	
TI	Oak		 Split – Fell (Dave Cashman phone call out from site to Clive who actioned work straight away) 	Normal	

- 1. Priority: Works noted as 'Urgent' must be organised as a matter of urgency and carried out as soon as possible. Works noted as 'Normal should be scheduled in as soon as practical, taking proper account of possible habitat restrictions and normal logistical delays such as adverse weather and administrative workloads. 'Normal is intended to mean within three months of the inspection date as a standard default, with a possible extension up to six months at the most under exceptional circumstances, which would need to be justified in the event of criticism.
- 2. Standard of tree works: All tree works should be carried out to BS 3998 (2010) Tree Work Recommendations, as modified by more recent research and/or the specific site circumstances. It is advisable to select a contractor from the local authority list and preferably one approved by the Arboricultural Association. Its Register of Contractors is available free from Ullenwood Court, Ullenwood, Cheltenham, Glos GL53 9QS, phone 01242 522152; website www.trees.org.uk.
- 3. **Reporting during work operations:** Any features or conditions that may affect tree safety discovered by the contractor when carrying out the intervention works, should be reported to the supervising officer. Modification to the original specification may be required because of these reports. The contractor should be specifically instructed on this point.
- 4. Reinspection: Unless otherwise stated in the schedule above, these work recommendations are made on the basis that the subject trees will be reinspected within 3–5 years of the date of the last inspection. All the subject trees should be checked after extreme and severe storm events, and after any nearby disturbance that could adversely affect their stability, such as excavation or loss of shelter.





This schedule is to be read in conjunction with plan 8388-BT10-21, Ash Grove, Dr Little Gardens, Carvers Recreation Ground, War Memorial Gardens, Pocket Park, Football Club Long Lane, Upper Kingston Allotments, 10 Acres Field, Parish Churchyard, Lakeside, Raymond Brown Nature Reserve and Bickerely and our report 8388-MainReport-DC, dated 26 March 2014.

Date of visit: 12 March 2014 (Parish Churchyard 11 March 2014 - Lakeside, Raymond Brown Nature Reserve and Bickerely 18 March 2014)

Weather/Visibility: Good

Inspected by: Dave Cashman

Tree No	Species	Comments	Work recommendations	Priority
			No work required	



























Tree Details - by Location/Site Dated: 25/06/2018 at 16:25 Page 1

Buttercross Car Park, Spilsby - Condition & Recommendations

Spilsby

Buttercross Car Park, Spilsby

TreeNumber/Seq	Species (Common Name)	Height	Trunk	Age	Vigour	Conditions	Recommendations	Priority
Sgl/010200 1	Tilia x europaea (Common Lime)	5 - 9 metres	41-60cm	Mature	Normal	Branch - Weak Union potential failure of branch at decayed pollard point. branches re max 10cm dia	Epicormic Removal	Priority Safety
Sgl/010204 7	Tilia x europaea (Common Lime)	5 - 9 metres	41-60cm	Mature	Normal	Other Management Observations previouadly pollarded. soon ready for repeating	Epicormic Removal	Priority Safety
Sgl/010208 8	Tilia x europaea (Common Lime)	5 - 9 metres	41-60cm	Mature	Normal	al Other Management Epicormic Removal Observations previous pollaed but has not shown strong vigour. repeat when other trees are done		Priority Safety
Sgl/010212 2	Sorbus intermedia (Swedish Whitebeam)	5 - 9 metres	26-40cm	Semi-Mature		Other Management Observations previously struck by traffic on roadside. would benefit from siding up on north side.	None recorded	No Action Required
Sgl/010216 3	Sorbus intermedia (Swedish Whitebeam)	5 - 9 metres	26-40cm	Semi-Mature		Other Management Observations	None recorded	No Action Required
Sgl/010220 4	Carpinus betulus 'Fastigiata' (Fastigiate Hornbeam)	5 - 9 metres	26-40cm	Semi-Mature		Other Management Observations starting to be struck by vehicles on north side	None recorded	No Action Required
Sgl/010224 5	Carpinus betulus 'Fastigiata' (Fastigiate Hornbeam)	5 - 9 metres	26-40cm	Semi-Mature		Other Management Observations	Crown lift over access (4m agl)	Priority Safety
Sgl/010228 6	Carpinus betulus 'Fastigiata' (Fastigiate Hornbeam)	10 - 14 metres	41-60cm	Semi-Mature	Normal	Other Management Crown lift to 5.2m agl Observations		Priority Safety
Sgl/010232 9	Alnus incana (Grey Alder)	Below 5 metre:	Below 25cm	Young	Normal	None recorded	None recorded	No Action Required
Sgl/010236 10	Tilia cordata 'Greenspire' (Fastigiate Lime)	Below 5 metre:	Below 25cm	Young	Poor	None recorded None recorded		No Action Required
Sgl/010240 11	Tilia cordata 'Greenspire' (Fastigiate Lime)	Below 5 metre	Below 25cm	Young	Poor	None recorded	Crown lift over path (2.5m agl)	Priority Safety



Tree Details - by Location/Site Dated: 25/06/2018 at 16:25 Page 2

Buttercross Car Park, Spilsby - Condition & Recommendations



							Annex
k, Spilsby(contd)							
Species (Common Name)	Height	Trunk	Age	Vigour	Conditions	Recommendations	Priority
Alnus incana (Grey Alder)	Below 5 metres	Below 25cm	Young	Normal	None recorded	None recorded	No Action Required
Alnus incana (Grey Alder)	Below 5 metres	Below 25cm	Young	Normal	None recorded	None recorded	No Action Required
				Sub-total:	13 trees		
				Total:	13 trees		
				_			
				Overall total:	13 trees		
k	Species (Common Name) Alnus incana (Grey Alder)	Species (Common Name)HeightAlnus incana (Grey Alder)Below 5 metre	Species (Common Name)HeightTrunkAlnus incana (Grey Alder)Below 5 metre:Below 25cm	Species (Common Name)HeightTrunkAgeAlnus incana (Grey Alder)Below 5 metre:Below 25cmYoung	Species (Common Name) Height Trunk Age Vigour Alnus incana (Grey Alder) Below 5 metre: Below 25cm Young Normal Alnus incana (Grey Alder) Below 5 metre: Below 25cm Young Normal Sub-total: Total: Total: Total: Total:	Species (Common Name)HeightTrunkAgeVigourConditionsAlnus incana (Grey Alder)Below 5 metre:Below 25cmYoungNormalNone recordedAlnus incana (Grey Alder)Below 5 metre:Below 25cmYoungNormalNone recordedAlnus incana (Grey Alder)Below 5 metre:Below 25cmYoungNormalInterestingAlnus incana (Grey Alder)Below 5 metre:FormationSub-total:13treesAlnus incana (Grey Alder)FormationFormationFormationFormationFormationAlnus incana (Grey Alder)Below 5 metre:FormationFormationFormationAlnus incana (Grey Alder)Below 5 metre:FormationFormationFormationAlnus incana (Grey Alder)Below 5 metre:FormationFormationFormationAlnus incana (Grey Alder)Below 5 metre:Formation	Species (Common Name) Height Trunk Age Vigour Conditions Recommendations Alnus incana (Grey Alder) Below 5 metre Below 25cm Young Normal None recorded None recorded Alnus incana (Grey Alder) Below 5 metre Below 25cm Young Normal None recorded None recorded Alnus incana (Grey Alder) Below 5 metre Below 25cm Young Normal None recorded None recorded Image: The set of the set



Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. (c) Crown Copyright Reserved. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.