

Ringwood Town Council

Ringwood Gateway, The Furlong, Ringwood, Hampshire BH24 1AT

Tel: 01425 473883

www.ringwood.gov.uk

PLANNING, TOWN & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

Dear Member

30th August 2018

A meeting of the above Committee will be held in the Forest Suite at Ringwood Gateway on **Friday 7th September 2018** at 10.00am* or at the conclusion of the public participation and your attendance is requested.

Mr C Wilkins
Town Clerk

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:

*If required, the meeting will be preceded by a public participation period of up to 10 minutes at 10.00am. Members of the public are also entitled to speak, during the meeting, on agenda items.

RECORDING (AUDIO AND/OR VIDEO) OF COUNCIL MEETINGS AND USE OF SOCIAL MEDIA

During this meeting the public are allowed to record the Committee and officers from the front of the public seating area only, providing it does not disrupt the meeting. Any items in the Exempt Part of an agenda cannot be recorded and no recording device is to be left behind. If another member of the public objects to being recorded, the person(s) recording must stop doing so until that member of the public has finished speaking. The use of social media is permitted, but all members of the public are requested to switch their mobile devices to silent for the duration of the meeting.

MOBILE PHONES

Members and the public are reminded that the use of mobile phones (other than on silent) is prohibited at Town Council and Committee meetings.

AGENDA

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

3. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

To approve as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 3rd August 2018

4. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

To consider applications (*Report A*)

5. NFDC CONSULTATION ON SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENTS

To consider draft responses to NFDC's consultation on the three draft supplementary planning documents:- Strategic Sites Masterplanning SPD, Mitigation for Recreational Impacts Strategy SPD and the Guide to Developer Contributions SPD (*Report B*) <http://www.newforest.gov.uk/article/14288/Supplementary-Planning-Documents-and-other-guidance>

6. DEVELOPERS' CONTRIBUTIONS

To receive an update on use of transport contributions held by NFDC, and in particular to note that the proposed crossing in Castleman Way does not meet the HCC criteria to justify a toucan crossing, although an uncontrolled crossing at this location would be considered if supported by the Town Council

7. A31 IMPROVEMENT SCHEME

- i) To receive an update following a meeting with Highways England on 28 August
- ii) To receive an update on the bid for designated funds following a meeting with HCC on 28 August

8. HIGHWAYS MAINTENANCE ISSUES

To receive an update on outstanding highways maintenance issues (*Report C – to be circulated at the meeting*)

9. SIGN PAINTING AT FRIDAYS CROSS

To consider recommending to Policy & Finance Committee that Financial Regulations be suspended for the purposes of procuring the sign painting

10. PROJECTS

To receive an update on projects (*Report D*)

If you would like further information on any of the agenda items, please contact Jo Hurd, Deputy Town Clerk, on (01425) 484721 or email jo.hurd@ringwood.gov.uk.

Committee Members

Cllr Chris Treleaven(Chairman)
Cllr Tim Ward (Vice Chairman)
Cllr Andrew Briers
Cllr Philip Day
Cllr Hilary Edge
Cllr Christine Ford
Cllr Gloria O'Reilly
Cllr Tony Ring
Cllr Angela Wiseman

Ex Officio Members

Cllr Tony Ring
Cllr Philip Day

Student Advisors

Permission (1)	Recommend Permission, but would accept planning officer's decision
Refusal (2)	Recommend Refusal, but would accept planning officer's decision
Permission (3)	Recommend Permission
Refusal (4)	Recommend Refusal
Officer Decision (5)	Will accept planning officer's decision

The Use Classes Order: Summary

A1	Shops
A2	Financial and Professional Services
A3	Food and Drink
B1	Business, including offices, research and development and light industrial
B2	General industrial building
B3	Special industrial: alkalis etc
B4	Special industrial: smelting etc
B5	Special industrial: bricks etc
B6	Special industrial: chemicals etc
B7	Special industrial: animal products etc
B8	Storage and Distribution
C1	Hotels and Hostels
C2	Residential Institutions
C3	Dwelling Houses
D1	Non Residential Institutions
D2	Assembly and Leisure

Schedule of Planning Applications to be considered on 7th September 2018 at 10.00am

Number	Applicant	Site Address	Proposal
18/00632	Mr Ham	Knaves Ash, Crow Hill, Crow, Ringwood. BH24 3DD	Conversion of outbuilding to facilitate additional ancillary habitable accommodation
18/00633	Mr Gould	Knaves Ash, Crow Hill, Crow, Ringwood. BH24 3DD	Single storey rear extension; garage conversion to facilitate additional habitable accommodation; alterations to fenestration; demolition of existing conservatory
18/10976	Surereed Ltd	3, Strides Lane, Ringwood. BH24 1ED	Change of use from office to residential; dormers; rooflight; fenestration alterations; boundary fence; parking
18/11004	Mrs Terry	16-18 Southampton Road, Ringwood. BH24 1HY	Removal of condition 3 on planning permission 18/10726 facilitate use of the first-floor flats as independent dwellings
18/11009	Mrs Webb	Little Mansfield, 36a, Southampton Road, Ringwood. BH24 1JD	1 terrace of 3 houses & associated parking; demolition of existing dwelling and garage
18/11034	Mr Caudle - Body Consultancy	39, Southampton Road, Ringwood. BH24 1HE	Shopfront alterations
18/11035	Mr Caudle - Body Consultancy	39, Southampton Road, Ringwood. BH24 1HE	Display 2 illuminated wall-mounted signs; 2 fascia signs; 1 vinyl sign (Application for Advertisement Consent)
18/11065	Mr & Mrs Souter	142, Southampton Road, Ringwood. BH24 1JF	Two-storey side extension

A

Number	Applicant	Site Address	Proposal
18/11073	Mr & Mrs Lloyd	1, Joyce Dickson Close, Ringwood. BH24 3ET	Single-storey rear extension
18/11074	Mr & Mrs Pankhurst	25, Bickerley Road, Ringwood. BH24 1EF	Single-storey side and rear extension; replacement porch
18/11082	Mr C White	Hazel Cottage, Gorley Road, Ringwood. BH24 1TJ	Detached garage
18/11096	Mr Harrison - Electric Gates UK	Unit 5E, GP Centre, Yeoman Road, Ringwood. BH24 3FF	Mezzanine floor; 4 new windows to first floor
18/11102	Mr Thorne - Extreme Exhibitions Ltd	Piquet House, 12 Wellworthy Way, Forest Gate Business Park, Ringwood. BH24 3FH	Display 2 internally illuminated fascia signs; 1 internally illuminated totem sign (Application for Advertisement Consent)
18/11152	Mr & Mrs Heathcote	10, The Mount, Poulner, Ringwood. BH24 1XX	Single-storey rear extension; change of use of garage to living accommodation and store
CONS/18/0734	Mr Duncan	Greyfriars Community Centre, 44 Christchurch Road, Ringwood, BH24 1DW	Prune 1 x Ash tree Prune 1 x Copper Beech tree Prune 1 x Oak tree
CONS/18/0738	Mr Thornton	64 Croft Road, Poulner, Ringwood. BH24 1TG	Prune 1 x Oak tree
CONS/18/0765	Mrs Tyerman	9 Barrows Mews, Ringwood. BH24 1GU	Prune 1 x Ash tree
CONS/18/0830	Mr Doody	Keepers Cottage, Hangersley Hill, Hangersley, Ringwood, BH24 3JN	Prune 1 x Pin Oak tree Prune 2 x English Oak trees Prune 1 x Yew tree Prune 1 x Magnolia tree Prune 1 x Sycamore tree Prune 1 x Ash tree Prune 1 x group (across road from property) consisting of 1 x Sycamore and 2 x Oak trees

Number	Applicant	Site Address	Proposal
TPO/18/0781	Mrs Stokes	11 Bishop Court, Ringwood. BH24 1PE	Prune 1 x Walnut tree

 New Forest <small>DISTRICT COUNCIL</small> New Forest District Council Local Plan	Ref: (For official use only)
Strategic Sites Masterplanning SPD Supplementary Planning Documents Representation Form	
<p>New Forest District Council has prepared a draft Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) on the above topic to provide further details on certain policies contained within the New Forest district (outside of the National Park) Local Plan 2016-2036 Part 1 Planning Strategy – Pre-Submission Draft</p> <p>These documents are available for public consultation from 7 July 2018 to 30 September 2018. All comments made should be restricted to the content of the draft SPD.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Do not use this form to make representations on the Pre-submission draft Local Plan.</p> <p>Further copies of the form can be obtained from the Planning Policy Team, downloaded from the Council's website or you can photocopy this form.</p>	

Part A

1. Personal Details*	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
<small>*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.</small>	
Title	<input type="text"/>
First Name	<input type="text"/>
Last Name	<input type="text"/>
Job Title	<input type="text"/>
Organisation <small>(where relevant)</small>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 1	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 2	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 3	<input type="text"/>
Postal Town	<input type="text"/>
Post Code	<input type="text"/>
Telephone Number	<input type="text"/>
Email Address <small>(where an email address is given, this will be used as the primary means of contact)</small>	<input type="text"/>
Signature: <input style="width: 300px; height: 25px;" type="text"/>	Date: <input style="width: 150px; height: 25px;" type="text"/>

Would you like to hear from us in the future?

I would like to be added to the database to receive updates on the Local Plan

Please do not contact me again

Strategic Sites Masterplanning SPD

Supplementary Planning Documents Representation Form

Ref:

(For official use only)

Sharing your personal details

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our website alongside your name. If you are responding as an individual rather than a company or organisation, we will not publish your contact details (email / postal address and telephone numbers) or signatures online, however the original representations are available for public viewing at our council office by prior appointment.

PART B: Your Comments

Please set out your comments below using additional sheets as necessary. Your comments should be set out in full – this will help the Authority to understand the issues you raise.

Please return completed forms to spd2018@nfdc.gov.uk by midnight on the 30 September 2018.

Where possible please refer to paragraph numbers at the start of each comment and restrict comments to the relevant chapter

For site specific comments, please refer to the site by number and site name. Each site starts with a copy of the policy from the draft local plan. If your comments relate specifically to this, please ensure that you also make those comments clearly via the **local plan consultation process** as no alterations to these parts of the document can be made within this supplementary document if they are not already to be made within the local plan policies.

(Continue on separate page /expand (or add) box if necessary)

Chapter / Para No. / Site Policy or Name	Comment
Chapter 3, Para. 3.2 Connectivity	We are concerned that the importance of avoiding overlooking and overcrowding of existing neighbourhoods by new development may be somewhat lost by framing that relationship purely in terms of “connection ... through ... visual links” and “knitting into” the fabric of existing development. Privacy matters too and needs to be mentioned here.
Chapter 4	We consider that suggesting both maximum and minimum numbers of dwellings or densities (albeit as approximations and guides only) would be both more realistic and helpful in assisting good design. We also suggest cross-referencing this section to section 5 and Appendix 2 of the Mitigation for Recreational Impacts SPD so applicants are left in no doubt as to the probable effects of required planning obligations on dwelling density and numbers.
SS13, Masterplanning Objectives c.	We disagree with the opening statement here and consider that because the western site allocation boundary is so close to the rear elevations of existing dwellings at this point, a swathe of greenspace beside this boundary will be required to avoid overlooking or dominating of these dwellings.
SS13, Masterplanning Objectives Site specific considerations	We see no possibility whatever of the traffic impacts of development of this site being capable of satisfactory mitigation other than by way of the new strategic link to the A31 through SS14. We strongly suggest that it would be both more realistic and helpful in assisting good design if this situation could be clearly stated here along with some reference to the Guide to Developer Contributions SPD. In addition, as this link road traverses large new areas of housing, site designs should demonstrate how the amenity of residents will be protected

	<p>from the impact of traffic. The volume of traffic using this road will be significant as it will not only serve new housing development but also traffic using this new route between the A31 and Christchurch Road, in preference to the existing route through town.</p>
<p>SS13, Masterplanning Objectives</p> <p>Site specific considerations</p>	<p>We understand that serious doubts remain about the capacity of the local drainage/sewerage infrastructure to cope with the demands of development on the scale proposed here. We strongly suggest that it would be both more realistic and helpful in assisting good design if this situation could be clearly stated here along with some reference to the need for early engagement with the relevant utility providers and the Guide to Developer Contributions SPD.</p> <p>There is also a need for the FRA to take into consideration the wider area south and east of the town. The recent example of drainage implications of the Linden Homes site in Crow Lane demonstrates this need.</p>
<p>SS13, Landscape Framework, para. 6</p>	<p>Our work to date on assessing feasible options for developing and improving use of this land for sports and recreation:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly suggests that trying to accommodate a new school (even only potentially) complicates the process at very least and may well render any scheme unviable and unsustainable; 2. Is hampered by the lack of a current Playing Pitch Strategy for the New Forest District (the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study for the New Forest area published in 2007 being no longer considered relevant). It is hard to see how good design can be reached without adequate and coherent policy guidance from the District Council in this respect; and 3. Strongly suggests that a new access from Moortown Lane will be needed (with possible impacts upon the precise siting of the proposed new crossing and/or access points into the development site). <p>In addition, the proposed use of Green Belt as a reserve site for a new school is in conflict with paras. 145 and 146 of the revised NPPF, which state that the construction of new buildings should be regarded as inappropriate development in the Green Belt; although there are a few exceptions, a school is not one of them.</p>
<p>SS13, Built development Framework</p>	<p>Since Local Plan Strategic Site Policy (para. iii. c.) provides for a “community focal point”, should not at least a possible location for it be indicated on this map?</p> <p>The central areas is intended as low rise bungalow dwellings – considering the increase in planning applications to add first floors to such properties, is there action that can be taken to prevent these properties being extended in the future?</p>
<p>SS14, Masterplanning Objectives, Additional considerations</p>	<p>We understand that serious doubts remain about the capacity of the local drainage/sewerage infrastructure to cope with the demands of development on the scale proposed here. We strongly suggest that it would be both more realistic and helpful in assisting good design if this situation could be clearly stated here along with some reference to the need for early engagement with the relevant utility providers and the Guide to Developer Contributions SPD.</p> <p>There is also a need for the FRA to take into consideration the wider area south and east of the town. The recent example of drainage implications of the Linden Homes site in Crow Lane demonstrates this need.</p>

B

SS14, Landscape Framework, para. 6	Consideration should be given to the proximity of the existing Ash Grove Play Area, which is adjacent to proposed new play areas.
SS13 and SS14 – Landscape Frameworks	There are many references to the importance of well-designed landscapes, including references to the importance of trees, hedgerows and planting schemes. However, there is concern that there is insufficient protection for these features in the longer term – house owners remove trees and shrubs for increased light, better views or improved access; planting becomes overgrown; community spaces become shortcuts; vehicles encroach on verges etc. It is suggested that planning permissions should include more enforceable conditions to prevent the loss of landscaping features and that, where the local authority is to take responsibility for these areas, that a funded, well managed and monitored landscape maintenance plan is adopted.
Do you have any further general comments to make on the document?	

DRAFT

 New Forest <small>DISTRICT COUNCIL</small> New Forest District Council Local Plan	Ref: (For official use only)
--	---

Recreational Impacts Mitigation Strategy for European Sites (Review 1)
Supplementary Planning Documents Representation Form

New Forest District Council has prepared a draft Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) on the above topic to provide further details on certain policies contained within the New Forest district (outside of the National Park) Local Plan 2016-2036 Part 1 Planning Strategy – Pre-Submission Draft

These documents are available for public consultation from 7 July 2018 to 30 September 2018. All comments made should be restricted to the content of the draft SPD.

Do not use this form to make representations on the Pre-submission draft Local Plan.

Further copies of the form can be obtained from the Planning Policy Team, downloaded from the Council’s website or you can photocopy this form.

Part A

1. Personal Details*	2. Agent’s Details (if applicable)
-----------------------------	---

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.

Title <input style="width: 90%;" type="text" value="Mrs."/>	<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>
First Name <input style="width: 90%;" type="text" value="Jo"/>	<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>
Last Name <input style="width: 90%;" type="text" value="Hurd"/>	<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>
Job Title <input style="width: 90%;" type="text" value="Deputy Town Clerk"/>	<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>
Organisation <input style="width: 90%;" type="text" value="Ringwood Town Council"/> <small>(where relevant)</small>	<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>
Address Line 1 <input style="width: 90%;" type="text" value="Ringwood Gateway"/>	<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>
Address Line 2 <input style="width: 90%;" type="text" value="The Furlong"/>	<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>
Address Line 3 <input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>
Postal Town <input style="width: 90%;" type="text" value="Ringwood"/>	<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>
Post Code <input style="width: 90%;" type="text" value="BH24 1AT"/>	<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>
Telephone Number <input style="width: 90%;" type="text" value="01425 484720"/>	<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>
Email Address <input style="width: 90%;" type="text" value="town.council@ringwood.gov.uk"/> <small>(where an email address is given, this will be used as the primary means of contact)</small>	<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>

Signature: Date:

Would you like to hear from us in the future?

I would like to be added to the database to receive updates on the Local Plan

Please do not contact me again

Recreational Impacts Mitigation Strategy for European Sites (Review 1)

Ref:

(For official use only)

Sharing your personal details

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our website alongside your name. If you are responding as an individual rather than a company or organisation, we will not publish your contact details (email / postal address and telephone numbers) or signatures online, however the original representations are available for public viewing at our council office by prior appointment.

PART B: Your Comments

Please set out your comments below using additional sheets as necessary. Your comments should be set out in full – this will help the Authority to understand the issues you raise.

Please return completed forms to spd2018@nfdc.gov.uk by midnight on the 30 September 2018.

Chapter / Para / Project	Comment
4.14, 4.15 and 4.16	<p>We suggest that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the District Council also commit to working with relevant town and parish councils which undertake or fund work on the PROW network within their parishes; 2. references to “improvement” of the PROW network be clarified and amplified to include essential maintenance work; and 3. mention be made here of the Parish Lengthsman Scheme as a medium for promoting and funding improvement and maintenance of the PROW network. <p>We observe that the word “strategy” is misspelt in para. 4.15, line 7.</p>
4.17 to 4.32 inclusive	<p>We support the suggested access and visitor management and monitoring proposals in principle but should like to raise to following issues of particular concern and suggest that consideration be given to making specific provision in respect of them:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Fires started accidentally or deliberately; 2. The impact of organized recreational or sporting events such as group cycle rides or runs (especially if these take place after dark); and 3. The impact of car parking outside designated areas.
4.37	<p>We recommend adding flexibility by providing here for the transfer of land (and associated payment of the commuted sum) to be made to the relevant town or parish council where this is practicable, best serves the public interest and is agreed with the District Council.</p> <p>In addition, to ensure that the quality of greenspace does not lessen over time, it is recommended that an agreed management plan for maintenance and upkeep is agreed at the time of handover.</p>

Appendix 2	<p>Appendix 2 is a summary of offsite mitigation proposals and outlines agreed projects for 2018-2025 (improving links between the Avon Valley and Blashford Lakes, and to the eastern part of the Castleman Trailway), and projects that have provisionally been identified for 2026-2036 (enhancements to Poulner Lakes and of the Castleman Trailway route through town, and provision of a new riverside walk between Pocket Park and West Street).</p> <p>Project ri1 should include reference to the Avon Valley Path and the need to improve the route to provide a safe crossing of the B3081 (the current route suggests pedestrians cross the dual carriageway to the north of the town roundabout).</p> <p>See suggestions below for additional schemes to be included.</p>
Appendix 4	<p>Integrating Sustainable Urban Drainage features</p> <p>We strongly support the saving for these features on alternative natural recreational green spaces but suggest that essential flexibility would be added by making clear that the surface water to be managed by such features need not be restricted to that arising from new residential developments but could include surface water arising off-site or from pre-existing causes.</p>
Appendix 7	<p>New Forest SAC</p> <p>We observe that the eighth paragraph appears to be a duplication of the seventh with only minor differences.</p>
Suggestions for additional mitigation measures and projects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i Castleman Trailway (between Embankment Way and Crow Lane) - This unmade section of the Castleman Trailway is in the ownership of Burry and Knight. As part of the development of land at Embankment Way for 21 industrial/storage units (Planning Permission 17/11230), we understand that they intend to strip back the weeds and tarmac the path, to join up with the section recently made up by Linden Homes, although work is not due to commence until next year. However, the land underneath the bridge is not in their ownership (it is possibly British Rail). Action needs to be taken to establish how this small section of the Trailway can be improved, as well as ensuring that Burry and Knight carry out the abovementioned proposed improvements. i Continuation of Castleman Trailway from Barrack Lane to Holmsley – the Trailway (PROW 509) comes to a stop at Barrack Lane, yet the dismantled railway continues east to Holmsley. <p style="text-align: right;">(Continue on separate page /expand box if necessary)</p>

 New Forest <small>DISTRICT COUNCIL</small> New Forest District Council Local Plan	Ref: (For official use only)
--	-------------------------------------

A Guide To Developer Contributions
Supplementary Planning Documents Representation Form

New Forest District Council has prepared a draft Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) on the above topic to provide further details on certain policies contained within the New Forest district (outside of the National Park) Local Plan 2016-2036 Part 1 Planning Strategy – Pre-Submission Draft

These documents are available for public consultation from 7 July 2018 to 30 September 2018. All comments made should be restricted to the content of the draft SPD.

Do not use this form to make representations on the Pre-submission draft Local Plan.

Further copies of the form can be obtained from the Planning Policy Team, downloaded from the Council's website or you can photocopy this form.

Part A

1. Personal Details*	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
<small>*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.</small>	
Title	<input type="text"/>
First Name	<input type="text"/>
Last Name	<input type="text"/>
Job Title	<input type="text"/>
Organisation <small>(where relevant)</small>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 1	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 2	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 3	<input type="text"/>
Postal Town	<input type="text"/>
Post Code	<input type="text"/>
Telephone Number	<input type="text"/>
Email Address <small>(where an email address is given, this will be used as the primary means of contact)</small>	<input type="text"/>
Signature: <input style="width: 300px; height: 25px;" type="text"/>	Date: <input style="width: 150px; height: 25px;" type="text"/>

Would you like to hear from us in the future?

I would like to be added to the database to receive updates on the Local Plan

Please do not contact me again

A Guide To Developer Contributions

Supplementary Planning Documents Representation Form

Ref:

(For official use only)

PART B: Your Comments**Sharing your personal details**

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our website alongside your name. If you are responding as an individual rather than a company or organisation, we will not publish your contact details (email / postal address and telephone numbers) or signatures online, however the original representations are available for public viewing at our council office by prior appointment.

Please set out your comments below using additional sheets as necessary. Your comments should be set out in full – this will help the Authority to understand the issues you raise.

Please return completed forms to spd2018@nfdc.gov.uk by midnight on the 30 September 2018.

(Continue on separate page /expand box if necessary)

Question 1 Are there any other types of general developer contribution not covered by Policy 34 that you feel should be covered in this Supplementary Planning Document?

Yes, contribution to the infrastructure required to deal adequately with surface water and foul drainage from development sites and the risk of flooding (either within the site or off-site where this is potentially exacerbated by the development).

We assume that the “Public Open Space” type is intended to include provision for children’s play, sport and recreation, though this might be made clearer here.

Question 2 Do you have any comments to make about the Council’s approach to determining contributions and viability as part of the application process?

It is unclear to us why section 3.2 mentions an Affordable Housing Statement and a Housing Mix Schedule but includes no indication of when documentary assessments of other types of infrastructure need will be required.

Given the particular circumstances currently prevailing here in Ringwood and expected to persist, we are especially concerned to ensure that the impacts of development on transport (especially road congestion, safety and parking) and drainage systems (both of surface water and foul drainage) are assessed realistically and thoroughly at an early stage and kept in mind throughout.

Section 3.3 on viability addresses the issue of viability at pre-application and application stages. We have concerns about the treatment of viability subsequently, for example when applications are made for approval of reserved matters, variation of conditions or revised/amended applications. We suggest that this section could usefully be clarified and amplified to explain the Council’s approach to viability testing at these later stages; to discourage developers from over-paying for sites or under-pricing the effects of planning obligations or market risks and then seeking to reduce or evade those obligations.

Question 3 Do you agree with the Council’s approach to negotiating affordable housing in the exceptional circumstances where on site affordable housing is not provided in accordance with Policy 17?

In exceptional circumstances, where off-site delivery or payment of commuted sums is agreed, dwellings should be delivered, or funds expended, in the same parish as the contributing development.

<p>Question 4 Do you have any comments to make on the Council's approach to securing the necessary financial contributions towards to implementation of mitigation for recreational impacts of European sites?</p>
<p>Question 5 Do you have any comments to make on the Council's approach to securing the necessary financial contributions wards mitigating the impacts phosphorus in the River Avon?</p>
<p>Question 6 Do you have any comments to make on the Council's approach to securing the financial requirements mitigating the impacts on Air Quality in relation to the proposed residential development proposed in the Local Plan Review Part 1: Planning Strategy?</p>
<p>Question 7 Do you have any comments to make on the Council's approach to securing the necessary financial obligations for offsite provision and the necessary contributions towards to future management and maintenance of open space provided on site?</p> <p>We would like the requirement for provision of play space to be amplified by requiring it to complement existing provision in the vicinity and to be inclusive (i.e. to have regard to the needs of children with disabilities).</p> <p>To ensure that the quality of greenspace does not lessen over time, it is recommended that an agreed management plan for maintenance and upkeep is agreed at the time of handover.</p>
<p>Question 8 Do you have any comments to make on the requirements to provide all necessary open space information as part of the application process and/or the potential for the Council to take an early transfer of open space provided on site?</p> <p>We recommend adding flexibility by providing here for the transfer of land (and associated payment of the commuted sum) to be made to the relevant town or parish council where this is practicable, best serves the public interest and is agreed with the District Council.</p>
<p>Question 9 Do you have any comments to make on the Council's approach to securing the necessary financial contributions towards transportation and highways infrastructure?</p> <p>We are concerned that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the onus is placed on Hampshire County Council to provide advice rather than on applicants to produce comprehensive and robust evidence-based impact assessments in appropriate cases; 2. there is no mention here of the Secretary of State or Highways England and the potential need for infrastructure works and measures affecting the strategic road network

B

Question 10 Do you have any comments to make on the Council's approach to securing the necessary financial contributions towards education provision?

We have the following concerns:

1. The approach is heavily dependent upon Hampshire County Council (and its input into the Infrastructure Delivery Plan) but with many schools now having academy status HCC has no control over their admission policies, and no involvement in their strategic planning. No process exists to enable academy trusts, school managers and other interested parties to contribute to the process of keeping the IDP accurate and up to date.
2. It is unclear to us why there is no mention of Ringwood School in section 8.4.1 since everything there stated concerning the Burgate School at Fordingbridge is equally true of Ringwood School.
3. The potential requirement for sites for new schools can (where potentially suitable sites are scarce, as in Ringwood) run counter to the requirement for public open space yet it is unclear from the approach adopted how these competing needs will be managed.

Do you have any further general comments to make on the document?

We would welcome the addition of acknowledgements by the District Council that town and parish councils should be involved in processes when relevant. (This particularly affects sections 3,4, 6, 7 and 9.

DRAFT



Item No.	Name	Recent developments	Resource use				Finish in 2018-19?	Notes
			Finance			Staff time		
			Budget	Spent to date	Predicted out-turn			
Projects with budgetary implications (bids included in 2018-19 budget)								
A1	Traffic Assessment	Agreed not to proceed at Full Council 27/06/2018 (C/6167 refers)	£4,000 (Provision)	£0	£0	N/A	N/A	Budget revised from £7,000
A2	Cycle Stands	Included in plans for Market Place improvements	£500 (Provision)	£0	£500	Minimal	Probable	Awaiting choice/availability of site
A3	Crow Stream	Annual flail to be carried out by end August to be followed by clearance by volunteers 13/9/18	£1,020	£0	£1,020	Moderate	Probable	Annual flail, clearance and spraying RTC invited to a meeting with NFDC, HCC and Linden Homes to discuss drainage issues (date to be agreed)
A4	Human Sundial	Meeting held with Hampshire Highways; further work required to define more specifically who does what and exact scope of works – planning permission not required	£8,000	£0	£8,000	Moderate	Possible	Project overseen by Town Centre Working Party To be funded by CIL receipts (Agreed by P&F 13/12/18 (F/5518))
A5	Neighbourhood Plan	Recommendation to proceed not supported by PT&E Committee on 3/8/18	£3,000	£0	£3,000	Moderate	Probable	
A6	Sign Painting at Friday's Cross	Planning consent granted 24/7/18 Ringwood Society obtaining quotes for sign painting and scaffold	£400	£66	£400	Minimal	Probable	Ringwood Society project, with contribution and support from RTC See separate item on agenda re. procurement
A7	Street-lighting in Kings Arms Lane	Work not carried out as expected as pole disconnected from mains – awaiting details of other options and outline costs from HCC/SSE	£0	£0	£800	Minimal	Possible	To be funded by CIL receipts (Agreed by P&F 19/10/17 (F/5497)) HCC agreed to adopt for maintenance purposes.



Projects with budgetary implications (not included in 2018-19 budget but added since)

Projects with no budgetary implications								
Item No.	Name	Recent developments	Resource use				Finish in 2018-19?	Notes
			Finance			Staff time		
			Budget	Spent to date	Predicted out-turn			
C1	Pedestrian Crossings Christchurch Road	HCC completed feasibility of crossing to south of Lidl roundabout and carrying out feasibility of crossing to north in revised location– awaiting approval prior to design and implementation				Minimal	Probable	Pressure to be maintained for additional crossings further south
C2	A31 improvement scheme	Meetings held with HCC to consider bid for designated funds, and with HE for a project update – see separate item on agenda				Moderate	Unlikely	Might involve use of developer contributions in addition to contributions from Highways England
C3	Moortown drainage improvements	HCC intend to open the Moortown Lane system this winter – the valve will be slowly opened when there is enough water to monitor the effect over a few days.				Moderate	Probable	To be carried out and funded by HCC. Non-return flap valves still to be fitted, to prevent stream backflowing.