

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING, TOWN & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE**

Held on Friday 1<sup>st</sup> March 2019 at 10.00am at Ringwood Gateway, The Furlong, Ringwood.

PRESENT: Cllr Christopher Treleaven (Chairman)  
Cllr Tim Ward (Vice Chairman)  
Cllr Andrew Briers  
Cllr Philip Day  
Cllr Hilary Edge  
Cllr Christine Ford  
Cllr Angela Wiseman

IN ATTENDANCE: Jo Hurd, Deputy Town Clerk  
Nicola Vodden, Meetings Administrator

ABSENT: Cllr Gloria O'Reilly  
Cllr Tony Ring  
Sophie Emery, Student Advisor  
Accalia Smith, Student Advisor

**P/5580  
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

There was no public participation. Six members of the public were present for the Snails Lane agenda item and planning applications.

**P/5581  
APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

The Deputy Town Clerk reported that apologies for absence had been received from Cllrs O'Reilly and Ring. Apologies had also been received from Sophie Emery and Accalia Smith, Student Advisors.


**P/5582  
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were none.

**P/5583  
MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING**

**RESOLVED:** That the Minutes of the Meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> February 2019, having been circulated, be approved and signed as a correct record.

*With the agreement of Members, agenda item 6 was brought forward for the benefit of the members of the public present.*



**P/5584**

**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT OFF SNAILS LANE**

Members were asked to consider commenting on the proposed development of 143 dwellings on land off Snails Lane, Blashford. BH24 3PG (Planning application number 18/11606).


The Chairman explained that it was not normal for the Council to consider an application outside of the parish boundary, as it was not the statutory consultee, and could not make a recommendation. However due to its proximity to Ringwood and the impact it could have on traffic and infrastructure, the Committee were being asked to consider writing a letter of representation, as an interested party.

The Chairman of Ellingham, Harbridge and Ibsley Parish Council addressed the Committee indicating that the application was not complete. The Environmental Impact Assessment had not been submitted and was expected in a few weeks. Once received the 6 week consultation period would start again and a meeting would be held to consider the application.

Mr Jim Spark, a representative of Blashford Meadow Action Group, addressed the Committee highlighting the number of objections to the application which had been lodged with NFDC and the impact on protected species which use Blashford Lakes and the surrounding area as their habitat.

A discussion followed and Members raised concerns as follows:-

- 1) Flooding issues had not been adequately addressed. HCC, as Lead Local Flood Authority, was unable to comment on surface water drainage as insufficient information had been provided.
- 2) Environmental impact – the Environmental Impact Statement had not been submitted, and there was no comment yet from Natural England.
- 3) Sewage treatment – there was no evidence to address concerns that existing sewerage infrastructure would not be able to cope with the increased capacity required from this and other proposed new development.
- 4) Infrastructure - although the development falls outside Ringwood, the burden would fall on the town in respect of doctors, dentists and school places. There was no adequate appraisal of current provision, or to show that there was sufficient capacity in the local structure to cope.
- 5) Transport Assessment – this was unrealistic in the assumption that children would walk such long distances to school.
- 6) Access issues for pedestrians and cyclists; suggested paths don't and in some cases can't exist.
- 7) Additional traffic using the A338, exacerbating congestion at the Ringwood roundabout at peak times. There was also concern about the increase in traffic using back roads, such as Snails Lane and Gorley Road, as rat-runs.
- 8) Loss of amenity; many Ringwood residents enjoy use of Blashford Lakes Nature Reserve and the surrounding area.
- 9) The number of dwellings proposed is considerably greater than that proposed in the Draft Local Plan, and some are proposed to be built outside the designated area, on an agricultural site.
- 10) The proposed development would destroy the natural habitat for several protected species, as evidenced by the Blashford Meadow Action Group.



The Chairman proposed that a letter of representation be submitted to express the Council's concern with the lack of adequate information and substantive plans to resolve issues that it was concerned about.

**RESOLVED:** That the Deputy Town Clerk draft a letter of representation outlining the Council's concerns with the proposed development on land off Snails Lane, to be agreed by the Chairman and Vice Chairman and submitted to NFDC.

<b>ACTION Jo Hurd</b>
-----------------------

**P/5585  
PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

Members considered planning applications.

*With the agreement of Members, application 19/00112 Unit 1 & 7, Forest Corner Farm was brought forward for the benefit of those present.*

19/00112 Unit1 & 7, Forest Corner Farm – Mr Jones presented the application and explained that pre-application advice had been sought and the plans were adapted accordingly. The Conservation Officer now had concerns that the design was domestic in nature. Members wished to support the application and recommended permission (3) adding that there was concern that pre-application advice had been received and followed and has now apparently been reversed. They hoped that a compromise could be agreed between the applicant and the Conservation Officer in respect of the glazing, so that the building can function as intended, whilst not defeating the purpose of the National Park.

*The remainder of the applications were dealt with in list order.*

**RESOLVED:** That the observations summarised in *Annex A* be submitted.

<b>ACTION Nicola Vodden</b>
-----------------------------

**P/5586  
A31 IMPROVEMENTS**

The Deputy Town Clerk indicated that the notes of the meeting with Highways England on 26 February 2019 were now available and would be circulated with the minutes of this meeting (*Annex B*). The whole plan for the widening of A31 was discussed at the meeting and Highways England (HE) commented on the suggestions and objections received during the consultation period last year.

The Chairman highlighted that the designated funds of £380,000, awarded for the nine specific schemes to improve access for pedestrians and cyclists, would need to be spent within a year. There was concern that this deadline was tight as the schemes required HCC to design and install within that time.



The start date for the scheme is 29<sup>th</sup> March 2021 with a programme of 78 weeks, the main disruption is expected whilst the contraflow is in place (estimated as 26-30 weeks). There would also be some complete closures of the A31 overnight.

Members concerns with the Traffic Management Plan were not dealt with at the meeting with Highways England and the Deputy Town Clerk was instructed to prepare a list of issues and forward to the Project Manager.

**RESOLVED:** That the update in respect of A31 Improvements was received.

<b>ACTION Jo Hurd</b>
-----------------------

**P/5587  
NEW FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION**

The Council considered this matter at the Full Council meeting on 27<sup>th</sup> February 2019 and agreed that the Town Council register to attend and speak at the Local Plan Examination on Matter 11c – Strategic Site Allocations: Avon Valley and Downlands, and specifically on 15<sup>th</sup> July 2019, when the two Ringwood sites (13 – land at Moortown Lane, and 14 – land to the North of Hightown Road) would be considered (C/6265 refers).

The Deputy Town Clerk confirmed that the Council's wish to speak at the examination had been registered.

**RESOLVED:** That the update be noted.

**P/5588  
FURLONG CAR PARK – DISABLED PARKING BAYS**

The matter had arisen at a recent councillors surgery, at which a resident requested that additional disabled bays be provided in the short-stay car park to enable access to the Furlong Shopping Centre, Gateway building facilities and public WCs.

It was noted that currently a number of disabled parking bays are provided in the short stay car park, adjacent to the bus stops, and six are provided in the Waitrose Car Park.

Given that the issue is the distance to be walked by people with limited mobility, it was suggested that representation be made to NFDC (as owners of the car park) to replace 5 existing spaces facing the rear of Waterstones with 4 wider disabled spaces and Members were asked to support the proposal.

**RESOLVED:** That NFDC be requested to consider the suggestion for additional disabled parking bays in The Furlong short stay car park.

<b>ACTION Jo Hurd</b>
-----------------------

**P/5589  
PROJECTS**

The Deputy Town Clerk indicated that updates were highlighted in the reports.



In addition:-

A4 - Human Sundial –HCC had agreed to provide new pavers for the sundial area, as there were insufficient supplies to match existing. It may be possible to schedule the work in June, however it was noted that there was a lead in time of 10 weeks for the stonemason. It is hoped that further detail, including costings, will be available by the next meeting.

C1 – Pedestrian Crossings, Christchurch Road – No update was available from HCC.

**RESOLVED:** That the update in respect of projects (*Annex C*) be received.

ACTION Jo Hurd
----------------

There being no further business, the Chairman closed the meeting at 12.15pm.


RECEIVED  
27<sup>th</sup> March 2019

APPROVED  
5<sup>th</sup> April 2019

TOWN MAYOR

COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN

**Note: The text in the Action Boxes above does not form part of these minutes.**



Annex A to Planning, Town Environment Committee Minutes 1st March 2019  
**Ringwood Town Council - Planning Observations - NFDC**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Site Address</b>	<b>Proposal</b>	<b>Observation</b>	<b>Comments</b>
18/11517	10 Fairlie Park, Ringwood. BH24 1TU	RE-CONSULTATION:Variation of condition 2 of Planning Permission 17/10663 to allow amended plans 8795:200 Rev A & 8795:201 to allow additional windows to south east elevation of plot 1 & additional windows to north west elevation and sunroom to plot 2	Refusal (2)	No changes were made to the comments previously submitted.
19/10042	45, Willow Drive, Ringwood. BH24 3BE	Two-storey side extension	Permission (1)	
19/10048	10, High Street, Ringwood. BH24 1AF	Display 1 non illuminated hand painted fascia sign; 1 illuminated hanging sign; 1 illuminated menu board sign; removal of timber beams, make good and re-paint; repair and re-paint windows; addition of false window (Application for Listed Building Consent)	Permission (1)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

Number	Site Address	Proposal	Observation	Comments
19/10079	Land rear of 84 & 86 Eastfield Lane, Ringwood. BH24 1UR	House; parking & access	Permission (1)	
19/10090	44, Seymour Road, Ringwood. BH24 1SH	Dormer; single-storey extension; fenestration alterations to outbuilding	Permission (1)	
19/10112	34a Christchurch Road, Ringwood. BH24 1DN	Alterations to ground floor shop; block up existing windows; second-floor extension to create 2 flats; attached house and carport; demolition of single-storey rear extension	Refusal (4)	The Committee felt that the proposed development was cramped, with no amenity space provided. Significant safety concerns were highlighted, in that access from the dwellings was directly onto a narrow lane, which is also the entrance to NFDC's Blynkbonnie Car Park. There were also concerns about the impact of the proposal on the neighbouring properties and businesses in the vicinity, in terms of access and refuse collection.
19/10116	Reedy Creek, Hightown Road, Hightown, Ringwood. BH24 3DY	Roof alterations to extend first floor accommodation; juliet balcony	Permission (1)	
19/10154	103 Eastfield Lane, Ringwood. BH24 1UW	Single-storey rear extension; fenestration alterations	Permission (1)	
19/10163	Koh Thai, 2, Southampton Road, Ringwood. BH24 1HY	Bin store	Permission (1)	
19/10164	Koh Thai, 2, Southampton Road, Ringwood. BH24 1HY	Bin store (Application for Listed Building Consent)	Permission (1)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

Number	Site Address	Proposal	Observation	Comments
19/10171	112, Hightown Road, Ringwood. BH24 1NP	Two-storey side extension; porch	Refusal (2)	The Committee were concerned about the impact on parking and would consider the application acceptable if the parking standards for a 4 bedroom property are met.
19/10192	2, The Furlong, Ringwood. BH24 1AT	Installation of 1 new air conditioning unit to rear elevation	Permission (1)	
19/10209	Brook Cottage, 7, Butlers Lane, Poulner, Ringwood. BH24 1UB	Remove & rebuild front boundary wall; re-instate vehicle access piers; gates; gazebo (Retrospective)	Permission (1)	
19/10210	Brook Cottage, 7 Butlers Lane, Poulner, Ringwood. BH24 1UB	Remove & rebuild front boundary wall; re-instate vehicle access piers; gates; gazebo (Application for Listed Building Consent)	Permission (1)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

## Ringwood Town Council - Planning Observations - NFNPA

Number	Site Address	Proposal	Observation	Comments
19/00085	The Bungalow, Hangersley Hill, Hangersley, Ringwood. BH24 3JS	Detached 3 bay garage with storage over	Refusal (2)	The Committee supported the Planning Officer's view, particularly in respect of the proposal's overdomination, given its prominent location.
19/00112	Unit 1 and Unit 7, Forest Corner Farm, Hangersley Hill, Forest Corner, Hangersley, Ringwood. BH24 3JW	Replacement building for D1 use (demolition of existing unit 1); extension and alterations to Unit 7 for D1 Use	Permission (3)	Members were concerned that pre-application advice had been received and followed and has now apparently been reversed. It is hoped that a compromise can be agreed between the applicant and the Conservation Officer in respect of the glazing, so that the building can function as intended, whilst not defeating the purpose of the National Park.
CONS/19/0075	Yew Tree Cottage, Linford Road, Hangersley, Ringwood. BH24 3JN	Fell group of 2 x Hazel and 1 x Holly tree Fell 1 x Sycamore tree Prune 1 x Oak tree Prune 1 x Yew tree	Permission (1)	
CONS/19/0132	The Original White Hart, Market Place, Ringwood. BH24 1AW	Fell 1 x Group of mixed species trees	Refusal (2)	Members felt that the reasons given in the application did not justify the felling of the trees and that the applicant should investigate other solutions available.
CONS/19/0135	Springdale, Linford Road, Shobley, Ringwood. BH24 3HT	Prune 1 x Oak tree Prune 2 x Holly trees	Permission (1)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

<b>Number</b>	<b>Site Address</b>	<b>Proposal</b>	<b>Observation</b>	<b>Comments</b>
CONS/19/0136	Oakhurst, Linford Road, Shobley, Ringwood. BH24 3HT	Prune 1 x Ash tree	Permission (1)	

1 - Recommend Permission, but would accept officer's decision 2 - Recommend Refusal but would accept officer's decision 3 - Recommend Permission 4 - Recommend Refusal 5 - Will accept officer's decision

**A31 Improvements - Notes of meeting with Highways England**

2pm, 26<sup>th</sup> February 2019 – Ringwood Gateway

**Present:**

Daniel Kittredge      Project Manager, Highways England (HE)  
Raluca Mitu            Assistant Project Manager, Highways England  
Annie Tomlinson      HCC  
Dean Brunton          NFDC  
Jo Hurd                  RTC  
Councillors Heron, Ring, Thierry and Treleaven.

**1.      Actions Review**

DK provided the following updates on points raised at previous meetings:

1. HE to assess and provide an answer regarding the noise impact of a metallic bridge vs a concrete bridge

Jacobs design team comments are: 'There is no significant difference between the two types of structures, there will be no 'moving' parts which could knock together and create a percussive noise, and the bridge deck will be brand new asphalt so will be a quieter road surface to run on'.

2. HE to assess the opportunity of installing noise barriers at the West end of West Street

This is being looked into as part of the noise barrier installation by the church adjacent to the A31 slip road, the extents of which can be agreed during the preliminary design.

It was noted that a section of the brick wall was crumbling and would need to be replaced. This had raised the possibility of extending the length of the brick wall, which could be a more aesthetically pleasing solution than providing a noise barrier. However, there were several issues to be investigated including land ownership; the requirement for planning permission and impact on the Conservation Area; and whether a wall would provide the same noise attenuation as a purpose made noise barrier.

3. HE to assess the opportunity of not having West Street closed – see list.

It is the opinion of Highways England that having an emergency access or barrier when closing West Street is not practical. There are numerous issues which support this decision.

3.1. Closing West Street (and the Fish Inn A31 entrance) is a safety measure to remove slow merging traffic conflicts (with a reduced line of sight) with traffic on the A31. Having an operational barrier means this risk remains there intermittently and potentially at unknown times which is not acceptable and is assessed as being a higher and more significant risk.

3.2. The cost and ownership of installing a barrier creates issues around operation, maintenance, ownership and liability. There is the possibility of vandalism, misuse and damage which could leave people exposed to risk of injury if undetected and addressed.

# B

3.3. A barrier may mean there is sufficient space for unauthorised vehicles to 'squeeze' past what is put in place, i.e. mopeds or motorcycles, which could merge with the A31 which a safety risk is again.

3.4. A physical means of deterring unsolicited access to the A31 is emerging as the preferred option, such as grade separation, while improving the route for walking / cycling and other non-motorised users is to be promoted.

3.5. It is the aim of the scheme, and the designated funds application, to make West Street the main cycle route traversing through Ringwood, and to remove potential cyclists from the hardstanding which could be construed as a 'path' adjacent to the A31 where space for a fully compliant cycle route is unavailable.

The councillors present asked Highways England to look at this again as there was overwhelming support from residents for this emergency access to be provided. In its response to the consultation, the Town Council had supported the proposed closure of West Street, with a caveat that there needed to be emergency access onto the A31 from the Market Place, with the operation of a barrier/smart card system.

There was no financial justification not to include a barrier (no costs were provided for installation or maintenance) and the reasoning of 3.3 above failed as adequate space would be required for cycles, horses and disability scooters.

It was noted that the emergency services had not objected to the closure of the access from the A31 to West Street. In addition, AT questioned the necessity and said that HCC would not wish to take on the maintenance or liability.

4. HE to assess the opportunity of having emergency access if closing West Street  
Not practical, West Street is a HCC road, cost of installing barrier creates issues around operation, maintenance, ownership and liability. See Above.

5. HE to assess the opportunity of widening the carriageway to 4 lanes between the Petrol Filling Station and Verwood Junction - Land Referencing required to check if land belongs to HE etc.

We need to further investigate if this option is suitable. There is existing utilities and land ownership issues that need to be addressed. There are also some other minor points which need to be clarified during the Detailed Design Phase.

It was noted that there was a gas main in the verge, which may make this proposal unviable.

6. HE to make sure that the TM takes into consideration the 10.500 increase of dwellings

This has been taken into consideration as part of the Traffic Modelling in 2039. Jacobs confirmed if NFDC had received applications for the relevant developments. Assessment are being made as part of Economic Assessment Package. To date (18 January 2019) applications of the 3 sites close to Ringwood only one has been received (480 dwellings, Moortown Lane), however the other 2 are expected (Hightown Road 270 and Snails Lane 100) in the future.

The result of revised modelling is due next month and this will be shared with RTC.

7. HE to assess the impact of lowering the speed limit to 50 mph on Picket Hill  
[The 70mph will be maintained as part of the scheme and in line with the adjoining carriageways to reduce varying traffic speeds affecting traffic flows in the area.](#)

Concern was raised about the need for vehicles accessing the A31 from Picket Hill to join traffic travelling at 70mph from a standing start. Although not within the extent of the scheme, DK agreed to raise this with colleagues at HE.

8. HE to provide information regarding TM during construction  
[Please see the Traffic Management Plan.](#)

9. HE to consider the advanced lane discipline and further signage in the area  
[This has been passed to our Traffic signage team and is supported by HE TPG team.](#)

## **2. Designated Funds**

It was noted that the bid for designated funds had been successful and £380,000 had been awarded from the Highways England Cycling, Safety and Integration (CSI) fund. HCC would now proceed with detailed design of the 9 schemes as set out in the bid, and implementation would be phased from east to west, with the works in West Street being the last to be carried out in order to tie in with the Highways England scheme. There was a need for these funds to be spent by the end of March 2020. A press release would be issued when the agreement had been signed by both HE and HCC.

It was noted that all elements of the scheme were designed to improve accessibility throughout the town and took into account the spirit and nature of schemes identified in the Ringwood Town Access Plan, as well as satisfying the objectives and criteria of the CSI fund. The purpose was not to reinvigorate, but could complement any other schemes designed to enhance and revitalise the town centre.

Some concern was raised about the proposal to reverse the direction of travel in that part of Meeting House Lane between Furlong and Market Place, particularly in respect of pedestrians using very narrow pavements alongside lorries and buses. It was noted that this scheme would need to satisfy a road safety audit, which would be carried out as part of the detailed design.

AT was invited to attend a Town Council meeting once the detailed design stage had been completed.

## **3. Project Update**

The current status of the scheme was nearing the end of preliminary design. Further environmental work would now be carried out, which would be followed by an independent assurance review.

The scheme was due to commence at the end of March 2021 and the current programme was 78 weeks. However, it was noted that there would not be disruption for the whole of this period and the focus at this time was on trying to minimise the contraflow period, which was currently estimated to be 26 to 30 weeks.

The detailed design (Stage 5) would not be completed until 4 months prior to the start of works.

# B

## 4. Traffic Management Plan

The draft Traffic Management Plan was discussed and the following was noted:

- i The scheme requires a contraflow style layout on the eastbound carriageway to enable the replacement of the two bridges – a minimum of 2 lanes road capacity would be maintained in both directions;
- i Whilst the contraflow is in place, the westbound entry slip at Ringwood would be closed, and both the eastbound off slip and westbound on slip at the Verwood junction would be closed;
- i Some full overnight road closures of the A31 would be required – during this time the official diversion route will be to the north via Salisbury.
- i The scheme would have a significant impact on the highway networks during the construction period and a robust communications strategy would be key to keeping the public informed;
- i The Esso service station would be closed during the construction period.

Concern was raised about the use of local roads as rat runs, both during full road closures (due to the length of the diversion route) and during construction. It was noted that signage would be key to warn drivers of restrictions and the unsuitability of alternative routes.

It was agreed that Ringwood Gateway would be a good location for a scheme information stand and that use should be made of the Town Council's Facebook page and website to assist in keeping members of the public updated.

JH agreed to forward a full list of comments on the draft Plan to HE.

Item No.	Name	Recent developments	Resource use				Finish in 2018-19?	Notes
			Finance			Staff time		
			Cost & Source	Spent to date	Predicted out-turn			
Projects with budgetary implications (bids included in 2018-19 budget)								
A1	Traffic Assessment	Agreed not to proceed at Full Council 27/06/2018 (C/6167 refers)	£4,000 Provision	£0	£0	N/A	N/A	Budget revised from £7,000
A2	Cycle Stands	Site identified near Old Bank House – JH to investigate progressing as a stand-alone project	£500 Provision	£0	£500	Minimal	Probable	Included in plans for Market Place improvements
A3	Crow Stream	Stream banks to be sprayed in Spring to control growth of hemlock water dropwort. Linden Homes/HCC looking at options to reinstate access chamber to allow maintenance of twin pipes beneath access road. HCC looking into collapse of ditch at bottom of Crow Hill.	£1,020 Transfer from Dev Conts	£459	£1,020	Moderate	Probable	Annual flail and clearance by volunteers carried out in August/September.
A4	Human Sundial	Meeting held with HCC officers on 23/1/19 – awaiting confirmation of costs prior to agreeing timescales for delivery.	£8,000 CIL	£500	£8,000	Moderate	Possible	Project overseen by Town Centre Working Party To be funded by CIL receipts (Agreed by P&F 13/12/18 (F/5518))
A5	Neighbourhood Plan	Decision on how to proceed deferred to May 2019 (FC 28/11/2018).	£3,000 Annual Budget	£0	£0	Minimal	No	Agreed by Full Council on 26/9/18 to proceed towards adopting a NP.
A6	Sign Painting at Friday's Cross	Project complete.	£1300+ contributions	£803	£803	Moderate	Complete	Total project cost £1553. Contributions of £750 received.
A7	Street-lighting in Kings Arms Lane	Awaiting details of options and outline costs from HCC/SSE	£800 CIL	£0	£800	Minimal	Unlikely	To be funded by CIL receipts (Agreed by P&F 19/10/17 (F/5497)) HCC agreed to adopt for maintenance purposes.



Projects with budgetary implications (not included in 2018-19 budget but added since)



B							
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Projects with no budgetary implications

Item No.	Name	Recent developments	Resource use				Finish in 2018-19?	Notes
			Finance			Staff time		
			Cost & Source	Spent to date	Predicted out-turn			
C1	Pedestrian Crossings Christchurch Road	Awaiting update from HCC on detailed design and likely timescales for completion.				Minimal	Probable	Scheme includes changes to Castleman Way/Bickerley Road roundabout to improve crossing facilities for pedestrians and cyclists.  Pressure to be maintained for additional crossings further south
C2	A31 improvement scheme	£380,000 awarded by HE to HCC for various cycling, safety and integration (CSI) town centre improvements. Update meeting with HE on 26/02/19 – on agenda				Moderate	Unlikely	Start date expected to be end March 2021
C3	Moortown drainage improvements	HCC Engineering Consultancy team will carry out modelling to ensure any works undertaken to reinstate the Moortown Lane system do not increase flood risk elsewhere.				Moderate	Possible	
C4	Pedestrian Crossing Castleman Way	Developers' contributions allocated but site does not meet HCC criteria for toucan crossing. Agreed to revisit following promotion of cycle path through Forest Gate Business Park.				Minimal	Unlikely	
C5	Improved signage for cycle path through Forest Gate Business Park	HCC agreed to include in the scheme for C1 above. JH has investigated RTC undertaking this work as a standalone project, but for various reasons this is not possible.				Minimal	Probable	



Item No.	Name	Brief description & notes (define scope and quality requirements)	Resource requirements				Budget Bid Priority (specify number)
			Finance		Time and attention		
			Estimated costs (recurrent and non-recurrent), possible sources, other implications, etc.		Members	Staff	
Projects with budgetary implications (for inclusion as bids in 2019-20 budget)							
A1	Neighbourhood Plan	Motion to be considered by new Council in May 2019. If agreed by a majority, terms of reference will be prepared for consultants to be instructed to provider further advice	£3,000 to be funded from Provisions (carried forward from 2018/19 budget) £9,000 to be funded from grants	Significant	Significant	Consultants	1
Projects with budgetary implications (for possible inclusion as bids in later budgets)							
B1	Rear of Southampton Road	To improve the aspect of the rear of buildings in Southampton Road to Meeting House Lane (Ringwood Society)	Unresearched at this time				
B2	Lynes Lane re-paving	Remove street features and re-pave (Town Centre Working Party/Ringwood Society)	Unresearched at this time				
Projects with no budgetary implications							
C							

